UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/601,913	08/08/2000	Sergei Mikhailovich Safronov	V-177	5275
802 PATENTTM.U	7590 09/08/201 S	1	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 827	88		RADA, ALEX P	
PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3716	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/601,913	SAFRONOV ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ALEX P. RADA	3716					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 De	ecember 2010.						
	action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during the	e interview on				
the restriction requirement and election	·	_					
4) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 17,21 and 23-30 is/are pending in the	application.						
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) 17, 21 and 23-30 is/are rejected.	7) Claim(s) <u>17, 21 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ate atent Application						
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3716

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

In response to the Request for continued Examination (RCE) filed 1 December 2010 wherein applicant amends claims 17, 21, 23 and claims 17, 21 and 23-30 are pending in this application.

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flow chart regarding the method claims 21 and 23-30 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

Art Unit: 3716

informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 17 and 21, 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. The specification does not disclose according to claim 17 the limitations of "a clock for registration of time of the said random events, and a technical facility for forming the random numbers on the basis of identification markers and time of collisions, and a technical facility for enciphering the random numbers". The specification does not describe nor discuss how the technical facility forms the random numbers on the basis of identification markers and time of collisions? The examiner request applicant to point out in the disclosure regarding the claimed limitations as noted above.
- 5. The specification does not disclose according to claim 21 the limitations of, "providing gamblers with options to make bets on forecasts of random numbers in form of time span" and "providing gamblers with random numbers generated on the basis of exact collisions". The specification discloses players making bets on at least one game field but does not explicitly disclose

the specific option offered to the gamblers. The specification does not clearly disclose nor discuss how random numbers are generated on the basis of exact collisions. The specification fails to describe the gamblers with the different options of how wagers are placed and how random numbers are used in the form of time span?

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 17 and 21, 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. The claim limitation "means for assessment" uses the phrase "means for" or "step for" or a non-structural term coupled with functional language, but it is modified by some structure, material, or acts recited in the claim. It is unclear whether the recited structure, material, or acts are sufficient for performing the claimed function.

If applicant wishes to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant may amend the claim so that the phrase "means for" or "step for" or the non-structural term is clearly **not** modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function, or present a sufficient showing that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and the claim does **not** recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function.

If applicant does **not** wish to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant may amend the claim so that it will clearly not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth

Application/Control Number: 09/601,913

Art Unit: 3716

paragraph, or present a sufficient showing that the claim recites sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function to preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

Page 5

- 9. Regarding claim 17, the limitation of, a technical facility for forming the random numbers on the basis of identification markers and time of collisions, and a technical facility for enciphering the random numbers" is considered indefinite because it is unclear if there are two separate technical facilities, one technical facility for forming the random numbers on the basis of identification markers and time of collisions and another technical facility for enciphering the random numbers? The technical facility for forming the random numbers on the basis of identification of markers and time of collisions is considered indefinite because it is unclear as to how the technical facility forms random numbers on the basis of identification of markers and time of collisions? How does technical facility perform form random numbers?
- 10. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

11. Claims 21 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. The claims do not provide any limitation that further define the invention. Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements.

Art Unit: 3716

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX P. RADA whose telephone number is (571)272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 09:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3716

/A. P. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3716